UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,267	07/14/2006	Nigel Paul Schofield	M03B327 7751	
71134 Edwards Vacuu	7590 07/08/200 ım. Inc.	EXAMINER		
2041 MISSION	COLLEGE BOULEV	TRIEU, THERESA		
SUITE 260 SANTA CLAR	A, CA 95054	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3748	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/586,2		SCHOFIELD, NIGEL PAUL			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Theresa 1	Ггіеи	3748			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress		
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is r	non-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wide Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-21 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Example of Example 1 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the content of the specific	and/or election raminer.  accepted or by to the drawing(s)	equirement. D□ objected to by the lose held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	48)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/586,267 Page 2

Art Unit: 3748

**DETAILED ACTION** 

This Office Action is responsive to the applicant's amendment filed on Mar. 20, 2009.

Claims 1-9 and 11-21 have been amended. Accordingly, claims 1-21 are pending in this

application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 11-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Regarding claim 11 recites the limitation "wherein the fluid inlet of the second flow path

and the fluid inlet of the second flow path are located...the chamber" renders the claims

indefinite, because it is unclear what the limitation "the fluid inlet of the second flow path and

the *fluid inlet of the second flow path*" is, as applicant has claimed.

Claims 12-21 are rejected by virtue of their dependence on claim 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Itou et al. (Itou) (Patent Number 5,352,097).

Page 3

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 9, as shown in Fig. 2, Itou discloses a screw pump comprising: a chamber defining with first and second externally threaded rotors 4, 5 mounted on respective shafts 2, 3 rotatably disposed and adapted for counter-rotation within the chamber a plurality of flow paths having respective fluid inlets 10, 20 wherein a first one and a second one of the respective inlets are located at a common low pressure side of the chamber (see Fig. 2); a fluid outlet 11 is located towards or at a common high pressure side of the chamber; a pump body defining the chamber, the body having first and second opposing plates (not numbered; however, clearly seen in Figs. 1 and 2), and wherein the first and second ones of the fluid inlets 10, 20 are formed in the first plate and the fluid outlet is formed in the second plate.

Regarding claims 3-7, as shown in Fig. 2, Itou discloses the first one and the second one of the respective inlets 10, 20 are formed in a common surface defining the chamber; the first one and the second one of the respective inlets 10, 20 are located on a common plane; a first one and a second one of the plurality of the flow paths merge at the fluid outlet 11 of the chamber; a first one and a second one of the plurality of the flow paths are arranged such that fluid flows along the flow paths in substantially the same direction (see Fig. 2); a first one of the plurality of flow paths is defined between an internal surface of the chamber and an external surface of the first rotor 4, and a second one of the plurality of flow paths is defined between the internal surface of the chamber and an external surface of the second rotor 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,267 Page 4

Art Unit: 3748

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itou in view of

legal precedent.

Itou discloses the invention as recited above; however, Itou fails to disclose the difference

pressure between the first and second inlets. It is examiner's position that one having ordinary

skill in the screw pump art, would have found it obvious to have utilized a first one of the

plurality of inlets is at a pressure higher than a pressure at a second one of the plurality of inlets

during pumping, since it is merely design parameters depending on the being used for a

particular purposes or solving a stated problem. Moreover, there is nothing in the record which

establishes that the claimed pressure different between the first and second inlet, presents a novel

of unexpected result (See In re Kuhle, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975)).

4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itou in view of

legal precedent.

Itou discloses the invention as recited above; however, Itou fails to disclose the fluid

outlet being formed in the second plate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill

in the art at the time the invention was made to have positioned the fluid outlet at the second

plate, since the fluid outlet would have performed equally well on the second plate or on the side

of the housing and the mere repositioning of parts not effecting the functioning of the device involves only routine skill in the art, *In re Japikse*, 86 USPO 70.

Page 5

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Prior Art

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of patent Cygnor et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,631,009) discloses a dual inlet gear pump.

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 3748

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP \$2163.06 II(A), MPEP \$2163.06 and MPEP \$714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

## Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theresa Trieu whose telephone number is 571-272-4868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am- 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,267 Page 7

Art Unit: 3748

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

TT /Theresa Trieu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3748

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.